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REALIGNING CARE AND COVERAGE

While the movement toward value-based care appears to be stalling out, a growing contingent of insurers and health systems are integrating coverage and care in different ways, working with each other or on their own.

BY LOLA BUTCHER
HFMA Contributing Writer

Highmark Inc. has achieved nearly $2.5 billion in cost savings since 2017 through better health management resulting from a value-based reimbursement program for top-performing primary care physicians.

Some of those health systems will struggle to survive because value-based care is the future.”

— Saurabh Tripathi
Executive vice president and CFO of Highmark Health
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Those avoided costs at the Blue Cross and Blue Shield-affiliate division of Highmark Health came from inpatient admissions and emergency department visits that did not happen — in other words, revenue that hospitals did not receive — for Highmark members managed by physicians in the value-based care program.

Pittsburgh-based Highmark Health, though, is unusual because it treats some of its health plan members in owned facilities. Of Highmark Health’s $22 billion in 2021 operating revenue, $4 billion came from provider operations. That mix, called a “blended health organization,” has served Highmark Health well, said Saurabh Tripathi, executive vice president and CFO.

“Having a payer side and provider side in our organization gives us the benefit of driving our vision and mission across the country,” he said.

Despite Highmark’s eye-popping results, the journey to value-based care has been slow as much of the hospital industry moved tepidly into value-based care arrangements. But there are signs that such activity is picking up.

Leading health systems and insurance companies are using a range of strategies — vertical integration, payer/provider partnerships and direct contracts with employers — to position themselves to accept financial risk for the quality and efficiency of care they deliver.

And CMS is doubling down. In its “refresh” strategy announced last fall, the agency said it expects all fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare beneficiaries and most Medicaid patients to be treated by providers in a value-based care model by 2030.

That could mean trouble for go-it-alone health systems that are clinging to FFS payment, in the view of Tripathi.

“Some of those health systems will struggle to survive because value-based care is the future,” Tripathi said. “My recommendation would be to get on this as quickly as possible, find a strategic partner, learn from someone who has done it and embrace value-based reimbursement.”

**COOPERATION IS A NECESSARY INGREDIENT**

Payers and providers working in tandem instead of in opposition is essential to improving population health, according to David B. Nash, MD, founding dean emeritus of the Thomas Jefferson University College of Population Health and Dr. Raymond C. & Doris N. Grandon Professor of Health Policy at the university.

“My long-held belief, supported by the research evidence, is that we have to find a better way to realign economic incentives,” Nash said. “A very powerful way to do that is providers and payers working together.”

Highmark Health offers a compelling example of how to marry providers and payers. Formed in 2013 as the parent organization of health plans and hospitals, Highmark Health has developed multiple approaches to supporting the provision of and payment for care. (See sidebar, next page)

Highmark Health’s vision is to reengineer healthcare delivery to reduce costs and improve healthcare while enhancing individual wellness and customer satisfaction.

“We have designed Allegheny Health Network’s capabilities around that, and we have done the same thing on the insurance side,” Tripathi said.

He cites the example of the Together Blue low-cost narrow-network plan that includes only Allegheny Health Network providers and facilities.

“We have gone in a different direction than being an unrelated payer and provider,” he said. “We have worked together to draw a
circle around our patients and members and customize our products for them.”

The Highmark Health strategy of owning payers and providers is unusual, and it does have a downside. While U.S. insurers have enjoyed record profits in recent years, health systems have been struggling with the financial challenges associated with the COVID-19 pandemic and, more recently, staffing shortages and wage increases. In Tripathi’s view, the financial burden of owning hospital systems in the short run is outweighed by the opportunity to advance value-based reimbursement.

“Our clinical team on the payer side works with the clinical team on the provider side, and we come up with the programs to reduce unnecessary utilization,” he said. “Within Highmark Health in the last couple of years, we have seen hundreds of millions of dollars reduction in the cost of claims by driving value-based reimbursement.”

Another benefit of Highmark Health’s ownership of a health system is the opportunity to pilot new ideas for achieving the Triple Aim. One example: After the successful pilot of a technology-enabled Type 2 diabetes management program at Allegheny Health Network, Highmark Health earlier this year made the program available at no cost to most of its adult members diagnosed with Type 2 diabetes.

“Our healthcare system to launch products and learn from the early successes — or failures, for that matter — and then go to our other provider partners with what we learned and accelerate the advance of those products across our footprint,” Tripathi said.

BANNER-AETNA JOINT VENTURE WORKING

With more than five years’ experience under its belt, Banner/Aetna — a joint venture between Phoenix-based Banner Health and Hartford, Connecticut-based Aetna Inc. that serves the Arizona market — has demonstrated some key advantages over traditional payer-provider contracts. As equal partners, Aetna owns and Banner Health each owns 50%, both reap the financial rewards of reducing unnecessary utilization while also bearing the financial risk of not doing so.

“By having a joint venture, you can leverage where each entity has the underlying expertise,” said Banner/Aetna CEO Thomas J. Grote.

In the arrangement, Aetna handles the underwriting, pays the claims and supports sales and service while Banner Health manages the joint venture’s “performance network,” a subset of providers with a demonstrated record of high-value care, and handles utilization management.

“With 100% aligned incentives, we can turn over utilization management responsibilities to Banner to get the care decisions closer to the care delivery,” Grote said. Providers get fewer upfront denials citing “lack of information” because Banner has access to the medical records that provide clinical details needed to justify a request.

Another benefit is the ability to quickly implement innovations. For example, Banner/Aetna recently introduced Virta Health, a virtual program that helps people reverse Type 2 diabetes.

“We piloted it last year, saw immediate results from
the pilot and stood it up within nine months,” Grote said. “The joint venture presents that opportunity because we are smaller and nimble — we have more than 375,000 members in one market rather than Aetna’s more than 20 million members across the entire country.”

The joint venture is delivering on both cost and quality. About half of Banner/Aetna members choose its performance network, composed of about 60% of the providers in the market.

“We’ve seen that network is consistently generating better results,” Grote said, referring to a higher percentage of patients using beta blockers properly and a higher percentage of Type 2 diabetes patients getting their hemoglobin A1C levels below 8%.

Meanwhile, the performance network generates up to 14% savings compared to the joint venture’s broad network, which encompasses almost all providers in the market. Those savings reflect fewer avoidable readmissions, less high-tech imaging being performed, shorter inpatient stays and reduced ED use.

Despite that track record, Grote says the joint-venture model is not the universal answer to America’s low-value care crisis. Aetna has five joint ventures across the country, and Grote does not expect that number to grow significantly.

That’s because the key ingredients necessary for a joint venture are hard to find. Both partners need a significant presence in the market. Both must have the capital to invest in a long-term venture, be willing to trust the other partner — and be truly committed to value-based care and population health.

“It can’t be just window-dressing,” he said. “You really have to believe in it. And it can’t just be the value-based care leader believes in it, but the CFO has to believe in it, and the system has to believe in it.”

Years into the effort, insurance coverage considered to be value-based was achieved by just 11% of commercial coverage at the low end and in 29% of Medicare Advantage coverage on the high end, according to 2021 data from the Health Care Payment Learning & Action Network. Part of the reason adoption of value-based care is slow may be a lack of faith in the concept. Forty-one percent of finance executives surveyed by HFMA don’t believe that care would improve if FFS payment were abandoned, while 18% believe it would. The balance, 40%, are unsure or don’t know.

Early challenges come with creating consistent incentives throughout each partner organization.

Internally, “there are going to be people who run a service line or have responsibility for driving revenue through certain avenues, and the things we do in value-based care are not going to necessarily align with their goals,” Grote said.

Later challenges come with navigating the realities of the market, including competing health systems that are likely also working with other insurers and do not want to support the joint venture.

“Things get complicated, for sure,” said Grote, who predicted the value-based care movement will advance more through contracts between payers and providers than through joint ventures.

CONE HEALTH SYSTEM INVESTS IN HEALTH PLAN

Leaders at Cone Health, a five-hospital system based in
Kaiser Permanente and UnitedHealth Group: Healthcare giants that offer care and coverage their own way

Kaiser Permanente, based in Oakland, California, is a long-time provider of integrated healthcare and does it on a scale other organizations can’t replicate. Meanwhile, UnitedHealth Group, Minnetonka, Minnesota, is not strictly an integrated care provider, but is seeing fast growth in its clinical care division, part of a sister company to its well-known health insurance operation.

Arthur Southam, MD, executive vice president, health plan operations and chief growth officer at Kaiser, described how the unusually tight level of integration between the three entities reflects the perspective of founders Henry J. Kaiser and Sydney R. Garfield, MD, who said access and coverage must go hand-in-hand.

What makes Kaiser Permanente structurally unique?
The health plan and the hospitals [divisions] are both not-for-profit, operating under the control of the same board of directors, and they have very tight — in some cases, 75-year-long — relationship with these eight large multispecialty medical group practices. Of our $93 billion in 2021 operating revenue, 98% of it came from our health plan selling health benefits to employer groups, individuals and Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries. And those 12 million people who are enrolled in our health plans get 80% or more of their total care from our own delivery system.

What are the primary advantages of that arrangement?
In our world, the health plan is an integral part of the organization; it’s not a third party that we negotiate with. Therein lies a key difference with respect to other systems because the relationship of a healthcare delivery system to payers is often quite contentious. And most systems have multiple payers, but our healthcare delivery system — all 39 hospitals, 730-plus medical office buildings and more than 307,000 employees and physicians — works with only one health plan.

The three of us work together on strategy and determining where we invest our capital and develop facilities. And all of us — our health plan, our outpatient practice, our inpatient practice, our pharmacy services — operate on the same integrated technology platform, which is an advantage for efficiency and delivering well-coordinated care.

The KP model has obviously proven to be successful. Why has it not been widely adopted?
It’s hard for others to replicate this because most delivery organizations have and want relationships with multiple payers. And most health systems want and need relationships with many different physician practices in their community. The fact that there are so many relationships, in many ways, gets in the way of high levels of strategic and financial integration and a mutual commitment to the success of one another.

Although the organization doesn’t consider itself a payvider, health plan giant UnitedHealth Group has adopted a multi-pronged approach that allows the organization to act as a growing provider of clinical care and as a more traditional health plan.

UnitedHealth Group is the parent to:
• UnitedHealthcare, a global provider of health insurance for individuals, Medicare Advantage and Medicaid managed care and businesses.
• Optum, which has divisions offering value-based care and consulting, pharmacy care services and data and technology

In our world, the health plan is an integral part of the organization; it’s not a third party that we negotiate with.”

— Arthur Southam, MD, executive vice president, health plan operations and chief growth officer at Kaiser

Although most of Optum Health’s revenue dollars came from affiliated companies, more 2021 revenue growth came from unaffiliated companies. Indeed, Optum Health’s 2021 revenue from unaffiliated companies soared 43% to $23.8 billion, and its affiliated business also grew sharply, rising 30% to $29.2 billion, the filing’s stated. A company spokesman said Optum Health works with more than 100 payers.

Meanwhile, UnitedHealth Group’s insurance operation, UnitedHealthcare, continues to add revenue and on a much larger scale, growing 11% to $223 billion in 2021. Thirty percent of UnitedHealthcare’s membership are covered with risk-based contracts.1
The leadership [at Northwell Health] is taking a very long view of this as an investment in the future …

— Nick Stefanizzi, CEO at Northwell Direct

Greensboro, North Carolina, believe vertical integration is key to value-based care delivery — but the health system must be in charge.

“I’m not ready to cede health systems over to non-health systems, whether they be insurance companies or venture capital firms,” said Mary Jo Cagle, MD, Cone Health’s CEO. “My perspective is that health systems today are in a position that we can either be commodities or we can be aggregators and set our own path forward.”

Cone Health chooses to be an aggregator. Earlier this year, it signed a letter of intent with a larger competitor — Novant Health, based in Winston-Salem, North Carolina — to take a minority ownership position in HealthTeam Advantage (HTA), a Medicare Advantage (MA) plan that Cone Health started in 2016.

With about 16,000 members, HTA is one of two MA plans in the state to receive Medicare’s five-star rating. Working in a competitive market in which nearly 70% of seniors are in an MA plan, HTA differentiates itself by its local roots.

“One of the reasons we’ve been successful is people associate our product with our physicians,” Cagle said. “We emphasize local physicians and a local product, and that has really reverberated.”

Novant’s investment will allow HTA to continue on a growth trajectory. The plan currently serves only a portion of the Triad Region, 12-counties in central North Carolina, but leaders see a much bigger future.

“We started in three counties; now we’re in seven counties,” she said. “A decade from now, I’d love to see it certainly all across the state of North Carolina, and perhaps even across the Mid-Atlantic or the Southeast.”

That confidence comes from Cone Health’s experience in risk-based contracts, starting with a Medicare accountable care organization a decade ago. Today, Cone Health cares for nearly 250,000 patients under various risk contracts with both private and public payers.

As Cagle and her colleagues gained experience with those contracts, they realized that the typical MA benefit design does not work for the benefit of patients, physicians or health systems.

“We saw that the key is designing a benefit plan that could lead to success, first of all for the patient and then with what the providers need to do to keep patients well or, if they have chronic disease, keep them in the doctor’s office and out of the hospital,” she said.

For example, Cone Health’s newest medical center includes Sagewell Health & Fitness, a medically supervised fitness center that HTA members can access through their Silver Sneakers benefit. The facility also serves rehab patients.

NORTHWELL SIDESTEPS PAYER

Meanwhile, Northwell Health, based in New Hyde Park, New York, is moving the payer entirely out of the picture. It sees a need to get closer to the true purchasers of healthcare via Northwell Direct, a for-profit subsidiary that contracts directly with employers.

“That fundamental belief is why we believe we have an opportunity to offer an alternative to the traditional insurance carriers,” said Nick Stefanizzi, Northwell Direct’s CEO.

Northwell Direct launched in early 2020 just before the COVID-19 pandemic emerged. Its early clients were interested in it for its “at work” offerings, ranging from COVID-19 testing, vaccination and consulting services for the JetBlue workforce to primary care services, including health coaching, for Whole Foods’ employees.

Its other service line is “network solutions,” which provides the full range of health benefits to self-funded employers.

Northwell Direct partners with third-party administrators, pharmacy benefit managers and stop-loss carriers to handle functions typically under an insurance carrier’s purview. Its provider network extends beyond Northwell Health’s physicians to include other organizations — for example, Montefiore Health System in the Bronx and RWJBarnabas Health in New Jersey — for broader geographic coverage.

“We wrap that network with care management services that are proactive and fully integrated across that network,” Stefanizzi said. “If we have an inpatient admission from one of our employers, I can send a nurse to the bedside before that individual is discharged to make sure that their follow-up care is not only fully understood but organized before they leave the hospital,” he said.

The direct-contracting model can save employers up to 20% on their health-benefits tab, Stefanizzi said. He thinks the approach will gather steam as employers seek ways to control their healthcare spend, but growth will be slow.

“This is a long-term build because the challenge we’re solving for comes with entrenched forces — traditional insurance models — in our way,” he said.

“The leadership [at Northwell] is taking a very long view of this as an investment in the future of the health system and a long-term growth strategy.”
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FMA for the second year in a row is publishing a four-part series looking at major trends and changes coming to healthcare. This third installment of the Healthcare 2030 series, “Realigning Care and Coverage,” examines the role that integrated care currently plays and should play going forward in healthcare. We asked the supporters of the series to provide some analysis of the findings, which can be found below. Learn more about the series at HFMA.org/healthcare-2030.

THE IMPORTANCE OF INTEGRATING CARE AND COVERAGE

The mission or purpose of most healthcare organizations is to improve the health of their communities and thus integrated care is essential for health systems to carry out their mission and serve their communities and truly impact and improve health.

The report describes the required cooperation needed with providers and payers to truly improve health and realign care. The word “require” is used intentionally, though not literally. Healthcare delivery may be successful in pockets in which care is not integrated, but experience points to that being the exception. And to critics of the Triple Aim, this is not an argument for or against it, this is simply stating that the Triple Aim represents better health outcomes (among other things) for populations and at its most basic, should be table stakes for healthcare delivery.

If integrated care is an important means to the end of better health, the means to integrated care are equal parts strategic and financial. The strategy is intentional, seeking to develop capabilities now for future deployment; these capabilities do not exclusively benefit value-based care and equally will not hurt providers in volume-based environments today. To understand the provider’s current capabilities, consider this: Would your system be ready to assess and implement a total cost of care model with quality and activity incentives today? Many traditional American healthcare systems today would answer to the negative, not for lack of interest, but for lack of infrastructure, capability, competency, confidence and, perhaps most importantly, necessity.

GETTING READY FOR VALUE-BASED CARE

No burning platform for urgent systemic change exists today. However I agree with the contributors that value-based care is the future, so start exploring and finding the right partner now to best position your organization for the future while you have the opportunity without much penalty. Become a high-value network that controls costs and improves quality so you can achieve better outcomes and more efficient care.

Learn from others who have been successful or failed. Engage with your physicians to better align care. And partner with payers and others to analyze your data, compare and find the opportunities.

Lastly embrace change.
Integrated care drives healthier communities by improving health outcomes, enhancing consumer experience and, ultimately, increasing efficiency. For example, when healthcare organizations partner more tightly and share data, they can deploy more effective care models that are hyper-focused on the specific needs of a target population — rather than a conventional, one-size-fits-all approach. This is critical to improving outcomes, as well as helping ensure that underlying health equity issues are surfaced and addressed.

Through collaboration and a focus on the care needs of target populations, healthcare organizations can coordinate resources, streamline handoffs, reduce unnecessary variation in care delivery and create a more positive and simplified consumer experience.

IMPROVING CONSUMER ACCESS

To meet the goals of improving access to care and ensuring members can receive timely and effective care across the care continuum, risk-bearing organizations will continue to seek ways to expand care options. In fact, I believe that consumer access and care delivery capacity should be top of mind for all types of healthcare organizations focused on the Quadruple Aim of patient experience, quality, cost and clinician experience.

Rethinking how and where care is delivered (such as through data-empowered PCPs, home-based service lines or integration with community-based resources) can create more accessible options for consumers so they can receive care when and where they need it.

BRIDGING THE GAP

Risk-bearing organizations are seeking innovative ways to improve care quality and health outcomes. As a result, there is an increasing interest in social determinants of health along with the commitments needed to invest and collaborate. Health systems and physician groups are critical team players to achieve these goals.

They should continue to be open to collaborating on integrated care delivery approaches and working together to define the most effective quality measures (such as consumer satisfaction, access to care measures, health outcomes and more).

We are seeing that payers are seeking provider partners who are willing to openly discuss how best to measure high-quality care, who are interested in taking on downside risk, and who are even open to innovative contracts, like having all of the practice’s patients on the same economic model so that care delivery can truly be transformed across a practice. To continue in this evolution toward quality over quantity, healthcare organizations need to continue to seek solutions that align incentives across the industry.

"Consumer access and care delivery capacity should be top of mind for all types of healthcare organizations focused on the Quadruple Aim."

— Atul Pathiyal, MPH, Director and President, Chartis Payer Advisory Services
The Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA) equips its more than 96,000 members nationwide to navigate a complex healthcare landscape. Finance professionals in the full range of work settings, including hospitals, health systems, physician practices and health plans, trust HFMA to provide the guidance and tools to help them lead their organizations, and the industry, forward. HFMA is a not-for-profit, nonpartisan organization that advances healthcare by collaborating with other key stakeholders to address industry challenges and providing guidance, education, practical tools and solutions, and thought leadership. We lead the financial management of healthcare.

Healthcare Financial Management Association
2001 Butterfield Road, Suite 1500
Downers Grove, Illinois 60515
hfma.org

Copyright © 2022
All rights reserved.